Chapter 3 A structured review of reasons for ecstasy use and related behaviours: pointers for future research

(This chapter is co-authored by Gerjo Kok, and has been submitted for publication under the same title)

Ecstasy use is potentially damaging to health [20; 21] yet prevalent [38; 39]. Theory-based behavioural interventions have successfully generated behaviour change in other areas [40; 41], and may likewise have beneficial effects when applied to ecstasy use. However, development of an effective intervention requires knowledge about which modifiable determinants need to be targeted [2]. A recent meta-analysis of quantitative studies on ecstasy use and related behaviours, which aimed to provide this knowledge, concluded that much research is still necessary [35]. Specifically, of all behaviours relevant to ecstasy use (e.g. trying out ecstasy, applying harm reduction strategies, ceasing ecstasy use) only the broad behavioural category of 'using ecstasy' had been addressed by the included studies. Because meta-analyses aim to integrate quantitatively the literature, they generally exclude studies that do not report certain statistics. For example, the meta-analysis about ecstasy use included only studies that "assess quantitatively the relationship between determinants and behaviour or intention" [35]. These restrictive inclusion criteria led to the exclusion of all qualitative and exploratory studies into the reasons for ecstasy use, while paradoxically, it is exactly this exploratory methodology that renders these studies particularly valuable in setting the research agenda. The current review sets out to inform future research into ecstasy use and related behaviours by summarising this qualitative and exploratory literature that has not yet been summarized.

Although the aforementioned meta-analysis did result in a list of determinants that seem relevant for ecstasy use (i.e. attitude, subjective norm, perceived behavioural control, moral norm, anticipated regret and habit), consideration of the most salient underlying beliefs indicated that some of these determinants of ecstasy use may prove exceptionally hard to modify [35]. For

example, although non-users had a lower descriptive norm than users (i.e. nonusers perceived there to be less ecstasy use at dance events), this difference appeared to reflect an under-estimation of actual ecstasy use prevalence on the part of non-users. This means that an intervention aiming to decrease descriptive norms would have to present incorrect information about the prevalence of ecstasy. The difficulties of intervening on the reported determinants seem to be underlined by a recent evaluation of an intervention among ecstasy users, where the authors concluded that a brief motivational intervention was no more efficient than the information-only control condition [though use decreased in both conditions, which most control participants attributed to the self-assessment at baseline; 83]. In addition, none of the reviewed studies specifically addressed the initiation or cessation of ecstasy use or the application of harm reduction strategies. All studies addressed the broad behavioural category of 'using ecstasy' [e.g. by comparing users with nonusers, or examining the intention to 'use ecstasy'; 35], which may be problematic because determinants of related but different behaviours such as these are assumed to differ [2; 84]. Finally, it seemed that the summarized research had not addressed a number of potentially relevant determinants [35].

Thus, so far, only one of several relevant behaviours has been studied; the identified determinants appear hard to modify; and relevant determinants may have been omitted. To investigate whether studies into other ecstasy use-related behaviours or addressing other determinants do exist, but were excluded by the rigid exclusion criteria of the meta-analysis drawing these conclusions, the current paper reviews all qualitative studies and studies that did not report an association with intention or behaviour, but did report reasons for performing (or not performing) an ecstasy use-related behaviour (e.g. trying out ecstasy, ceasing ecstasy use, getting ecstasy tested, or drinking water during use). This overview can then serve as a starting point for the required quantitative research into ecstasy use, eventually enabling development of effective evidence-based interventions.

Methods

Relevant literature was identified through the databases PsycINFO and MedLine. These were accessed through the Ovid SilverPlatter WebSpirs interface (version 5.12). At the 21^{tu} of August 2008, the query

"((ecstasy or MDMA or xtc or methyldioxymethamphetamine or "party drug" or "party drugs" or "club drug" or "club drugs" or "dance drug" or "dance drugs") in TI,AB) and (LA=english)"

was entered and yielded 4 574 hits (12 32 from PsycINFO and 2021 from MedLine). The phrase "not (mouse or mice or rat or rats)" was added, which eliminated 1321 hits. The remaining 3 253 entries were downloaded and imported into a reference management program [85], which automatically identified and deleted 741 duplicates (defined as entries with the same title and year of publication). The titles and abstracts of the 2 512 remaining records were manually inspected for relevance, and all publications reporting reasons for an ecstasy use-related behaviour were acquired. The acquired publications were examined in more detail, and if upon closer inspection a paper turned out to not report any reasons for ecstasy use or a related behaviour, it was excluded accordingly.

Most excluded records described biological studies, followed by a large number of publications describing prevalence of drug use, sometimes combined with demographical variables. A number of studies also investigated consequences, perceived effects, or risks of ecstasy use. Unless these were mentioned as reasons for ecstasy use or related behaviours (e.g., cessation), there is no reason to assume that they influence behaviour (e.g., experiencing anxiety need not influence ecstasy use, as suggested by the fact that that effect is reported by current users of ecstasy [6]; likewise, abstainers can hold similar expectancies as users without commencing use [86]). Specifically, when consequences of ecstasy use (effects, risks or otherwise) were neither reported in response to a question about reasons nor considered as reasons by the author of the original paper, they were not considered as reasons in the current paper either. Also, studies not reporting original empirical data were excluded (e.g., discussions or reviews). Finally, potential population-specificity of factors influencing behaviour [32; 44; 45] prompted exclusion of studies that did not investigate the target population (i.e. young recreative ecstasy users in western society).

Results

Included studies

Details of the included 22 publications [82; 87-107] are provided in Table 3.1. The included studies examined several behaviours, and given the qualitative

Table 3.1: Authors and publication years of the included studies, and the letter denoting these studies.

Authors and number in reference									
list	Year	*	1* Country	Time	Sampling method	Measurement	Z	↔ %	Age
Solowij, Hall & Lee [101]	1992	Α	Australia	NR	RDS	Questionnaire	100	36%	27
Fountain, Bartlett, Griffiths,	1999	В	UK	NR	Selected sample with	Interview	100	36%	18
Gossop, Boys & Strang [93]					diverse experience with				
					drugs				
Topp, Hando, Dillon, Roche & Solowii 11041	1999	C	C Australia	NR	RDS, advertisement,	Interviews	329	51%	23
Bovs. Marsden & Strang [82]	2001		D UK	Angust-	RDS	Ouestionnaire	364	44%	19
[-18				November 1998					
Hansen [96]	2001	ш	Australia	July 1998-	Explicit selection	Participant	31	45%	25
				February 2000		observation &			
						interviews			
Winstock, Griffiths & Stewart [107] 2001]2001	щ	UK	June 1999	Questionnaire in	Questionnaire	1151	40%	24
					magazine				
Dundes [91]	2003	G	NS	October 2000	Distribution by students	Questionnaire	719	22%	20
Fendrich, Wislar, Johnson &	2003	Η	US	June 2001-	Random selection	Audio Computer	627	61%	28
Hubbell [92]				January 2002		self-interview			
Verheyden, Henry & Curran [105] 2003	2003	Ι	UK	NR	Sampling in bars, private	Questionnaire	430	45%	24
					residences, clubs,	guided interview			
					universities, offices				
Verheyden, Maidment & Curran [106]	2003	_	UK	NR	Sampling of ex-users from Questionnaire other study	Questionnaire	47	%0	30
Carlson, Falck, McCaughan &	2004	K US	US	Spring 2001-	Convenience sample, RDS Focus groups &	Focus groups &	30	20%	22
Siegal [89]				Winter 2002		interviews			
Gourley [95]	2004	Г	L Australia	NR	Explicit selection	Interviews &	12	20%	21
						observations			
Riley & Hayward [98]	2004	\boxtimes	M UK	February-March	February-March Sampling at dance venues Questionnaire	Questionnaire	124	20%	25
				ZUU1					

Gamma, Jerome, Liechti &	2005	*SN N	US**	NR	Links at websites	Online survey	923	NR	19
Levy, O'Grady, Wish & Arria [97] 2005		O US	US	2003	Flyers	Focus groups	30	22%	20
Soellner [100]	2005	Ъ	P Germany	1994-1998	Random sample	Computer assisted interviews	2246	NR	NR.
Allott & Redman [87]	2006	O	Q Australia	June-December 2004	June-December Convenience sample, 2004 RDS, advertisement	Questionnaire	116	51%	27
Copeland, Dillon & Gascoigne [90] 2006	2006	Z Z	R Australia	NR	NR	Interviews	216	47%	56
Rodgers, Buchanan, Pearson, Parrott, Ling, Hefferman & Scholey [99]	2006	S	S US, Europe**	NR	Links on websites	Online questionnaire 209	e209	40%	16-20
Sumnall, Cole & Jerome [103]	2006	H	T US, UK, Australia, NR Eire**	,NR	RDS, printed posters, advertisement, key informant access	Questionnaire	268	37%	26
Sterk, Theall & Elison [102]	2007	U US	ns	NR	Respondent driven sampling	Computer assisted interviews	261	30%	21
Bellis, Hughes, Calafat, Juan, Ramon, Rodriguez, Mendes, Schnitzer & Phillips-Howard [88]	2008	>	V Portugal, Spain, NR Italy, Greece, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Austria, Germany, UK	Z Z	RDS	Questionnaire	146	49%	21

* = letter used in Table 3.2 and Table 3.3 to refer to this study, ** = probably primarily these region(s) (internet study), US = United States, UK = United Kingdom, RDS = respondent driven sampling (e.g. snowballing), NR = not reported

nature of these data, this renders presentation of all results challenging. In 2006, Baylen and Rosenberg [6] reported a review with a goal similar to the current goal, and with resulting data that were structured similarly. As the way in which they report their results seems very useful for the current purposes, this approach will roughly be followed. Two groups of behaviours share a number of reasons, and the results pertaining to these groups of behaviours are therefore presented in the same table. One table contains "use behaviours": behaviours leading to consumption of more ecstasy (starting use, "using ecstasy" in general, using more ecstasy, and not ceasing ecstasy use, see Table 3.2). The other table contains "non-use behaviours": behaviours leading to consumption of less ecstasy (not starting use, using less ecstasy, or ceasing use, see Table 3.3). In addition to these seven behaviours, a number of studies addressed reasons for combining ecstasy with other drugs and applying harm reduction strategies [87; 90; 96; 97; 101; 102; 107]. Because of the multitude of different behaviours, these reasons will not be tabulated but rather discussed in the text. The authors have clustered the reasons in Tables 2 and 3 to the best of their abilities, but exclusively to ease presentation and discussion, as the qualitative nature of this review prohibits integration of the results. The original descriptions for each reason are listed in the first column of every table, and the original (unclustered) lists of reasons are also available [108].

Use behaviours

A number of patterns emerge when all studies are combined (see Table 3.2). First, like quantitative studies, most qualitative studies focussed on the behaviour 'using ecstasy'. However, there is still a lot of data on other behaviours, such as starting to use ecstasy, using more ecstasy, and not ceasing ecstasy use. Reasons to start using ecstasy seem to fall into the following categories: availability, curiosity, to be on the same level as friends, ease of use, to enhance mood, to get intoxicated, because positive effects outweigh negative effects, for recreation, and as self-medication. In addition, whether potential users feel safe about the ecstasy contents and the setting seems to play a role (but only for a minority of potential users). Most data on reasons for trying out ecstasy come from interview studies and do not provide an indication as to how relevant each reason is.

Regarding using ecstasy, such indications are more widely available, which allows tentative ordering of the reason categories by relevance. The following categories contain at least one reason that was endorsed by more than half of the participants (very relevant reasons): to be on the same level as

Table 3.2: Reasons and reported frequencies for starting ecstasy use, using ecstasy, using more ecstasy, and not ceasing ecstasy use.

				Not
				ceasing
	Starting		Using more ecstasy	ecstasy
Reason (literally reported reasons in parentheses)	ecstasy use	Using ecstasy	ecstasy	nse
Availability / price / quality of ecstasy	oNN	NNE; 27% ^U	NN ^E ; 21%,	
(being offered an ecstasy pill, lower cost of ecstasy ^E , ecstasy quality decreased, increased ^F ;			35%F; 7% ¹ ;	
have more money to spend!; availability of ecstasy ^M ; availability ('was there so I tried it') ^O ;			\sum_{M}	
friend offered and felt I could not declide $^{\cup}$)				
Changing life circumstances (moving in or out of a certain lifestyle)			$14\%^{\mathrm{I}}$	
(it is part of my lifestyle')				
Curiosity	NN, NN ^A ;			
(out of curiosity, for experimental reasons ^A ; curious about good experiences of others, general NN, NN ^K ;	INN, NNK;			
interest in effects of psychoactive substances ^k ; the hype surrounding ecstasy ^L ; curiosity ^O)	NN ^L ; NN ^o			
Denying or forgetting negative effects				NN ^K .
(thinking "that won't happen to me" or "well, everybody else is doing ecstasy, and they're				NN
not having any problems", upon hearing about negative consequencesk; unpleasant				
experiences are forgotten and the excitement of going out and socialising takes over ^L)				
Desire to be on the same level as friends	NNK; NNo	NNK; NNo 70%H; NN, NNL		
(to get into the spirit of the party ^H , it makes you sad if you're at a club and you see everybody	_			
else is having all this fun and you're notk; having a shared experience, desire to be on the				
same level as friends ¹ , social pressure ("you see friends having a great time and you want to				
join") ^o				
Ease of use	$\overset{\circ}{N}$	NN, NN, NNE;		
(controlled freedom/sense of control, provides fun, confidence and companionship that users		NN		
seek without negative consequences associated with other drugs, effects preferred to those of				
alcohol ^E ; using ecstasy is more convenient than using alcohol ^L ; ease of use in comparison to				
other drugs ^O)				

TATA TIATA DIVOL		
27%"; NN; NN,		
NN, 60%M; NNP;		
59%T		
48%, 78% ^D ; NN ^L ;		
NN, 56%, NNM;		
$47\%^{P}$; $42\%^{T}$		
27%, 8%в		
62% ^D ; 22% ^H ; NN,		
NNo; 29% ^T ; 10%,		
23%, 21% ^v		
3%, 42% ^D ; NN,	3%, 2%1	
NNE; NN, 65%,		
20%, NN ^M ; NN,		
71%P; 53%T; 12%V	>	
36%, 80% ^D ; NN ^E ;		
47%M; 70%, 29%,		
56%т		
11% ^U	NNE; NNL	
л%68′%€6	NN	
	7, 50%, 1NN, 78%, 1NN, 78%, 1NN, 78%, 1NN, 78%, 10%, 25%, 10%, 21%, 10%, 21%, NE, NN, NE, NN, NE, NN, NN, NN, NN, NN	NN ^T ; NN _Y ; 10%; NN _Y ; 12%, NN _E ; 29%,

(worrying about dying from ecstasy, risk of brain damage ^F) Feeling safe about ecstasy contents and ecstasy use setting (being certain about what is ingested and that an organisation of knowledgeable volunteers is present ^C)		
of knowledgeable volunteers is		
(being certain about what is ingested and that an organisation of knowledgeable volunteers is present $^{\circ}$	51% ^G	
present ⁽⁵⁾		
Help lose weight 7%D	Δ.	
(help you to lose weight ^D)		
Help you to concentrate, work, or study 3% ^D	0	
(help you to concentrate or to work or study ^D)		
Intoxication, losing inhibitions NN° 68%	68%, 50% ^D ; NN ^E ;	
to lose inhibitions ^D ; loss of inhibitions ^E ; just to	91%н; 31%м	
get high/enjoy oneself ⁴ ; to lose it (being uninhibited) ³), desire for an altered state of mind		
$($ "desire to get screwed up" $)^{0}$ $)$		
Noticed mood/affective/cognitive changes in oneself	1%	
(feeling depressed a few days after use $^{\rm F}$)		
Own bad experience	$1\%^{\mathrm{F}}$;	
(having a bad experience on ecstasy $^{\mathrm{F}}$)		
Other's bad experience / death / mood/affective/cognitive changes	$1\%^{ ext{F}}$	
(knowing someone who has had a bad experience on ecstasy.)		
Positive effects outweigh negative effects NNE	NZ	NNE;
(positive effects seem to outweigh risks; positive effects from use outweigh the negative	ZZ	NNK
effects ^{E_i} , ecstasy use feels too good despite worries about depression ^{K})		
Presence of opportunity 58% ¹	%т	
(raves¹)		
Recreation / relaxation / stop worrying NNv; NN, 30%	30%, 33% ^p ; NN ^k ;	
(recreational purposes', help you to relax, stop worrying about a problem ^D ; a time out from NN ^O NN	NN, NN ¹ ; NN,	
the normal routine and stress of daily lifek', being at a major dance event, relax or unwind ^L ; 699	69%, 32%, 21% ^M ;	
a	NN, 57%, 62%,	
to escape ^{c,} relaxation, to party, to have a good time, coping with problems, as a distraction ^p)	11%, 14% ^P	

Self-medication	NN, NNo	NN, NN° 6%°; 42%, 24% ^T		
(enables socially anxious individuals and/or those with low self-esteem and confidence to fit				
in with others and have a good time, provides temporary relief from depressive symptoms ⁰ ;				
insecurity?; personal 'psychotherapy', group 'psychotherapy' 1)				
Social influence (friends use ecstasy)		20%™; 8% ^U	$6\%^1$; NN ^L ;	NN
(most of my friends take it'; being with friends who take the drug, desire to continue			N_{N}^{N}	
interacting with an ecstasy-using group of peers!; because mates take it, peer-group				
behaviours ^M ; wanted to be accepted by friends ^U)				
Spirituality		$21\%, 23\%^{T}$		
(spiritual, close to nature ¹)				
Tolerance			35%F; 9%I;	
(needing to take more tablets than used to ^F ; I need to take more to get the same effects ¹ ;			NN NN	
increased tolerance K)				

Superscripted letters denote studies as listed in Table 3.1, NN = no numbers (frequency or percentage) reported.

friends, to enhance energy and dancing, to enhance mood, to enhance sex, to enhance social interaction, to enhance sensory perception, because pleasant effects were experienced, to get intoxicated, because of an opportunity, and recreation. The following categories were reported by less than half, but more than one in ten participants (moderately relevant reasons): availability, enhance other substances' effects, because no effects were experienced, self-medication, social influence, and spirituality. Two categories were reported by less than one in ten participants (irrelevant reasons): to help lose weight and to help concentrate. No information was available as to the relevance of the category ease of use.

For using more ecstasy (encompassing both increasing frequency and increasing dosage), only one reason was very relevant: feeling safe about ecstasy contents and setting. Moderately relevant reasons were availability, changing life circumstances, and tolerance, and social influence and to enhance social interaction were irrelevant reasons. Fear of health risks, noticing mood/affective/cognitive changes in oneself, and one's own or another's bad experience were each reported as reasons for using more ecstasy by 1% of the participants of study F, which can reasonably be assumed to reflect measurement errors. There was no information about the relevance of ease of use and not experiencing the expected effects.

For not ceasing ecstasy use, like for trying out ecstasy, no information was available to estimate the relevance of each reason. The reported reasons fell in the following categories: because positive effects outweigh negative effects, because negative effects are denied or forgotten, or because of social influence.

When this information is combined for all use behaviours, it becomes clear that although some reason categories are equally relevant for all behaviours, there are also differences. Understandably, curiosity only seems relevant for starting ecstasy use; denial of negative effects only seems relevant for not ceasing use; and tolerance is only relevant for using more ecstasy. However, other differences are less intuitive. For example, social influence, and ecstasy's ability to provide energy and enhance social interaction and sensory perception do not seem to play a big role in starting to use ecstasy.

Non-use behaviours

When looking at behaviours that health promoters would generally construe as the desirable behaviours, it is clear that most research focussed on using less ecstasy and ceasing ecstasy use (see Table 3.3). Regarding the less studied behaviour "not starting ecstasy use", no study reported a reason that was

Table 3.3: Reasons and reported frequencies for not starting ecstasy use, using less ecstasy, or ceasing ecstasy use.

	Not starting Using less	Using less	Ceasing
Reason (literally reported reasons in parentheses)	ecstasy	ecstasy	ecstasy use*
Addiction, fear of becoming dependent	в%0	$16\%^{\mathrm{c}}$	NNo; 36.3%P
(fear of addiction ^B , feeling dependent on ecstasy ^C , addiction/tolerance ^O , addiction ^P)			
Availability, price, quality of ecstasy	NN^A ; 2% ^B ;	57%c; NN,	NN, NN ^A ;
(financial reasons, high price of ecstasy ^A ; financial cost ^B ; financial difficulties ^C ; knowing that a pill does		NN, NNE;	34%; 1.4, 6.3;
not contain MDMA, monetary factors, quality factors ^E ; ecstasy quality decreased, increased ^F ;		34%, 10% ^F ;	oNN
perceived drop in ecstasy quality, money is a problem!, money problems, MDMA quality!; availability of	J	34%; NN ^M	
$\operatorname{ecstasy}^{M_i} \operatorname{money}^{O})$			
Changing life circumstances (moving in or out of a certain lifestyle)		NN^{E} ; 12% ¹ ;	NN ^A ; 30%,
(having decided not to do drugs anymore*) changes in life circumstances ^E ; I'm getting older, if I was		NN ^L ;	12%, 7% ¹ ; 5.1 ¹ ;
spending less time at clubs, if I was spending less time at pubs, if I was spending less time at parties!;			NN° ; $16\%^{\circ}$
stopped clubbing!; growing out of the scene ¹ ; loss of interest ⁰ ; moving on ⁵)			
Lack of curiosity	18%, 2% ^B		
(uninterested in the effects, unfamiliarity with the drug and/or its effects ^B)			
Ecstasy is overrated		NN_{L}	25%^
(ecstasy is boring or overrated ^{A_s} , not every ecstasy experience is necessarily as good as the last ¹)			
Experienced no effects or unpleasant effects	25%, 41% ^A		5.6′; NN ^K ;
(experience was unpleasant, found the experience boring ^A , not enjoying drug!, intensity of first			44% ^P
experience was overwhelming and not worth the trouble of continuing to use ^k , ecstasy did nothing to			
me ^p)			
Fear of ecstasy's effects	43% ^B		
(fear of the effects $^{\mathrm{B}}$)			
Lack of opportunity	NN^A ; $10\%^B$		
(having had no opportunity to take ecstasy*, lack of opportunity ^B)			
Legal consequences			$7\%^{E}$; $10\%^{I}$; 1.2^{J} ;
(external circumstances (legal) ^E ; getting a criminal record!; criminal record!; fear of legal consequences ^o)			NNo
Minimising ecstasy comedown		26%5	
(avoiding the ecstasy comedown ^o)			

Minimising health risks or fear of health risks NNA	NN ^A ; 33%, 45%,	45%, 39% ^c ;	NN ^A ; 67%,
(wariness regarding the effects of ecstasy, health reasons*, fear of physical harm, fear of psychological 0%		NN, NNE;	46%, 17%,
harm ⁸ , physical health effects, psychological problems ^c ; potential health risks, minimise the potential for	15%,	15%, 25% ^F ;	13%; 5.8, 4.51;
negative or adverse outcomes ^E ; worrying about dying from ecstasy use, risk of brain damage ^E ; fears	NZ	NN, NNK;	NNo; 62%P;
about long-term effects on mental health, long-term effects on physical health, short-term effects on	71%,	71%, 58%	14%s
mental health, short-term effects on physical health!, long-term mental health, short-term mental health!			
avoid potential risks ^k ; health concerns ^o ; fear of damage to health ^p ; avoiding ecstasy-related negative			
side-effects, avoiding brain damage or neurotoxicity ⁰ ; negative effects ⁸)			
Noticed mood/affective/cognitive changes in oneself	27 ^F ; 3	17%, 12%,	27 ^F ; 30%, 17%, 7% ^E ; 50% ^I ; 5.6,
(external circumstances (medical) ^E , feeling depressed a few days after use ^E ; finding it was doing my	13%,	13%, 13%,	5.4, 5.3, 5.1,
head in, it takes it out of you physically, I get depressed, I have some memory loss, it takes longer to	12%, 6% ¹ ;		4.9, 4.3, 3.3,
come down, I have not been feeling healthy, it makes me less tolerant to others; depressed, paranoia,	NN		3.1, 3.0, 2.91
anxiety, memory, concentration, physical health worries, impulsive behaviour, sleeping worries, angry,			
eating worries'; depression ^M)			
Observation of others using ecstasy 16% B	9В		NNo
(seen the effect on others ^B ; observation of others using ecstasy ^O)			
Other's bad experience / mood/affective/cognitive changes / death	11%F	$11\%^{F}$; NN ^M	31%, 19%,
(knowing someone who had a bad experience on ecstasyF; seeing someone have a bad experience on			17%, 14% ¹ ; 2.9,
MDMA, knowing someone who died as a result of taking MDMA, became mentally ill, became			2.2
physically ill'; other's bad experience, other mentally ill'; other's bad experiences")			
Own bad experience	22%F	22%F; NNM	25%; 3.4 ¹ ;
(having a bad experience on ecstasy ^F ; personally having a bad experience on MDMA; bad experience ⁶ ;			0NN; NNo
own bad experience ^M , problems caused by ecstasy ^N , negative personal experiences ^O)			
Responsibilities (interference with or increase/decrease in) or relationship problems	28%,	28%, 17% ^c ;	NN ^A ; 37% ^C ;
(occupational problems, to improve quality of life, relationship problems'; social factors ^E ; it places	NNE;	NN ^E ; 19.6%,	18%, 16% ¹ ;
strains on my job/studies, I have more responsibilities, if I thought it was negatively influencing my	11.3%	61	4.7 ¹ ; 75% ^P
work/study, might affect my job'; work affected/; fear of reduced efficiency ^p)			
Social influence (friends quit using ecstasy) 7% ⁸	,NN;1%6	Z X	22%; 1.8
(peer influence ^B , if friends were giving up, most of my friends have given it up'; friends quit ^J ; peer-group	NN_{M}		
behaviours, being with people who don't use (many) drugs ^M)			

Social influence from relatives	2%, 2%; 2.0,
(pressure from relatives, relatives finding out I was taking MDMA!; relatives finding out, relatives'	1.71
pressure)	
Tolerance (effect of dose decreases over time)	9%F; 18%I;
(needing to take more tablets than used to ^F , I'm not getting the same rush as I used to get!; boredom ^M)	NN^{M}

Superscripted letters denote studies as listed in Table 3.1, * Study A reports reasons to not use ecstasy for 1-3 time users, and study J reports scores to indicate relevance of each reason on a 10-point scale, reported by ex-users, NN = no numbers (frequency or percentage) reported. endorsed by more than half of the participants, indicating substantial variation in people's reasons to refrain from starting ecstasy use. The most relevant reasons fell in the following categories: lack of curiosity, experience was unpleasant or absent, fear of ecstasy's effects, lack of opportunity, fear of health risks, and observation of others using ecstasy. Fear of addiction, availability, and social influence seemed to be less relevant.

Regarding using less ecstasy, availability, minimising ecstasy comedown (the unpleasant period following ecstasy intoxication) and minimising health risks seemed to be the most relevant reason categories. Less relevant were the fear of addiction, changing life circumstances, having a bad experience on ecstasy, noticing mood/affective/cognitive changes in oneself, one's own or another's bad experience, responsibilities, and tolerance. Social influence again seemed the least relevant, and no relevance information was provided for the reason that ecstasy is overrated.

For ceasing ecstasy use, four relevant categories emerged: personally having a bad experience, fearing or minimising health risks, noticing mood/affective/cognitive changes in oneself, and responsibilities. Less relevant categories were fear of addiction, availability, changes in life circumstances, judgement that ecstasy is overrated, not experiencing desirable effects of ecstasy (any more), legal consequences, another person's bad experience, and social influence. Social influence from relatives was irrelevant, and it could not be estimated how relevant the observation of others using ecstasy was.

Although the reasons for using less ecstasy and ceasing ecstasy use were quite similar, different reasons were reported for not starting ecstasy. For example, the cost of ecstasy does not deter potential users, but it does cause users to use less or even cease use, whereas other people's bad experiences can be a reason to use less ecstasy or cease altogether, but has never been reported as a deterrent by non-users. Fearing or minimising health risks was reported for all three behaviours, although markedly less frequently as a deterrent to not start using ecstasy. When comparing these reasons with the 'use behaviours', it became clear that there was very little overlap. It seems that people have different reasons for starting ecstasy use and for not starting ecstasy use, and yet different reasons for using less ecstasy and for ceasing ecstasy use, and yet again for using ecstasy.

Combining drugs and applying harm reduction strategies

Five studies reported reasons to combine ecstasy with other drugs, and all reasons fell in one of two categories: to enhance the ecstasy experience, or to

minimize the comedown. To enhance the ecstasy experience, ecstasy was combined with ADHD medication, amphetamine, benzodiazepines, ketamine, LSD, marijuana, and Viagra (studies A, E, O and R). To minimize the comedown, ecstasy was combined with alcohol, antihistamine, benzodiazepines, cocaine, heroin, ketamine, marijuana, oxycodone-containing analgesics, rohypnol and valium (studies A, E, F, O and R). Interestingly, studies also reported that people *refrained* from combining with other drugs to maximize the ecstasy experience (studies A and K). Other reasons to refrain from combining were to minimize health risks (studies E and K) and after having heard about people dying from ecstasy use (study K). To minimize health risks, participants also pre- or postloaded with vitamins, 5-HTP, or SSRI's (studies O, Q and R). Preloading was also reported to enhance the ecstasy experience (study R), and postloading to minimize the comedown (studies Q and R), and one study reported that participants drunk water during use to minimize the comedown (study Q).

Most other harm reduction strategies were applied too minimize the potential for negative or adverse outcomes or health risks, namely drinking water and chilling out during ecstasy use (both from study Q; chilling out means taking breaks from dancing), purchasing fewer ecstasy pills per occasion and limiting one's supply, only using when in a positive mood and with friends, and the more altruistic behaviours of guiding initiates and monitoring others (all from study E). Then, a number of behaviours served to deal with the uncertain contents of ecstasy pills: using only after someone else had tried the ecstasy (study E), obtaining pills from a reliable source (studies K and O), and purchasing pills in bulk (study E). However, one study also reported that participants could avoid getting their ecstasy tested because they considered the uncertainty (as to the pill contents) 'part of the process' (study E). Finally, one study reported a user who liked to drive under the influence of ecstasy because he enjoyed the experience (study E).

Discussion

The papers included in this review contain valuable information. Compared to the synthesis of quantitative literature [35], the studies included here have indeed addressed more behaviours and more potential determinants. Though a minority of reasons reported here has already been quantitatively studied, those quantitative studies have only examined their relevance for the behaviour

'using ecstasy', and the results show that reasons for different behaviours (e.g. 'using ecstasy' and starting or ceasing ecstasy use) differ. This means that an intervention targeting the important determinants for 'using ecstasy' may be unable to effectively influence other behaviours (such as starting or ceasing ecstasy use). This also means that development of evidence-based interventions addressing these other behaviours first requires studies that map the determinant configurations for those specific behaviours.

Unfortunately, this review cannot inform intervention development, because this review only provides an overview of how frequently a reason was reported, and comparison of the frequency with which reasons were reported with the effect sizes found in the meta-analysis for the behaviour 'using ecstasy' showed that frequently reported reasons can correspond to beliefs that were not associated to frequency of use (i.e. beliefs held equally strongly by users and abstainers or by heavy users and light users). For example, using to 'enhance energy and dancing' was mentioned as reason for ecstasy use by between 39% and 91%, yet the belief that ecstasy helps to stay awake was associated to ecstasy use with a trivial effect size in the meta-analysis ([35]; i.e. Cohen's d < .2 [109]). A second limitation of this review is the fact that with one exception all included studies have been performed in the US, the UK and Australia. It remains to be seen whether these conclusions apply to other countries such as the Netherlands. Third, following from the qualitative methodology, no conclusions can be drawn except that reasons for related but different behaviours differ; nothing can be said about the degree to which they differ. This conclusion does, however, imply that determinant configurations (i.e. relative relevance of each of the determinants of a behaviour) of related but different behaviours differ as well.

Thus, there is a need to find out whether and to what degree determinant configurations for ecstasy use-related behaviours differ, ideally by comparing one or more behaviours (e.g. trying out ecstasy, using ecstasy and ceasing use) in one study. If these determinant structures do indeed differ, interventions should target different determinants depending on the specific behaviour that is targeted. In addition, future studies should measure the beliefs underlying the reasons for each behaviour. For trying out ecstasy, reasons related to availability, curiosity, desire to be on the same level as friends, ease of use, enhancing mood, feeling safe about ecstasy contents and ecstasy use setting, intoxication, positive effects outweighing negative effects, recreation, and self-medication should be measured. For using ecstasy, reasons relating to

availability, desire to be on the same level as friends, ease of use, enhancing energy and dancing, mood, other substances' effects, sex, social interaction, and sensory perception, experiencing no or very pleasant effects, losing weight and helping to concentrate, intoxication, the presence of an opportunity, recreation, self-medication, social influence and spirituality should be measured. For using ecstasy more often, reasons relating to availability, changing life circumstances, enhancing social interaction, experiencing no or very pleasant effects, feeling safe about ecstasy contents and ecstasy use setting, social influence, and tolerance should e measured. For not ceasing ecstasy use, reasons relating to the denial of negative effects, positive effects outweighing negative effects, and social influence should be measured.

For not starting to use ecstasy, reasons relating to fear of addiction, availability, lack of curiosity, experiencing no positive effects, fear of ecstasy's effects, lack of opportunity, fear of health risks, observation of others using ecstasy, and social influence should be measured. For using less ecstasy, reasons relating to fear of addition, availability, changing life circumstances, ecstasy being considered overrated, minimising the ecstasy comedown, minimising or fearing health risks, noticing changes in oneself, one's own or others' bad experience, responsibilities, social influence, and tolerance should be measured. For ceasing ecstasy use, reasons relating to addiction, availability, changing life circumstances, ecstasy being considered overrated, experiencing no or unpleasant effects, legal consequences, minimising or fearing health risks, noticing changes in oneself, observing others using ecstasy, one's own or others' bad experience, responsibilities, social influence from friends and relatives, and tolerance should be measured.

Just after the current review was completed, two new manuscripts were published that also addressed reasons to refrain from trying out ecstasy [110; 111]. Vervaeke, Benschop and Korf conducted a factor analysis and found support for three factors: fear of the effects, rationality, and lack of opportunity [110]. Rosenberg, Baylen, Murray, Phillips, Tisak, Versland and Pristas used a different method and distinguished eight factors: harm to thinking, school, work, or athletic performance; ecstasy use is contrary to values/self-image; fear of failing a drug-test; fear of effects on body; difficulty with acquiring ecstasy; fear of dangerous outcomes; no enjoyment expected from ecstasy; and fear of loss of control. Most reasons underlying these factors reflect reasons from the earlier studies that were included in this review, but additional reasons to start ecstasy use are also reported: uncertainty about pill contents, medical reasons,

no access to ecstasy, already using another substance, and not using on principle [in 110], and against religion, fear of damage to reputation, want to be a role model, don't know where to get it, fear of losing control [in 111]. Especially interesting are the different factor structures revealed by these two studies. This can be attributed to their different methodologies of constructing the factors, or to the different locales (Dutch versus American).

Conclusions

The results of this review provide a clear agenda for the research needed to develop evidence-based interventions addressing ecstasy use. Worth noting in this respect is that many studies reported overlapping reasons, both within and between studies. For example, it is unclear whether and if so, to what degree, the reasons "help enjoy the company of friends", "enhance socialising", and "being together with other people" reflect similar determinants. Ideally, a number of largely orthogonal beliefs can be identified [by studies such as 110; and 111], the relevance of each of which can then be established for each behaviour. As multiple theoretical frameworks seem to apply to ecstasy use [35], it seems advisable for future studies to include variables specified by different theories so that it can be determined whether and how the relevant beliefs underlie these variables.

Finally, the combination of this study and the meta-analysis [35] has important implications. First, by virtue of their strict quantitative approach, meta-analyses provide only a very narrow view into the literature, excluding many studies that may provide valuable pointers for future research. By considering these excluded studies, qualitative reviews remain very valuable tools in synthesising the state of the literature. Second, conclusions from such qualitative reviews need to be quantitatively verified. As was also the case in the current review, results from qualitative research may not be corroborated by quantitative data. Thus, a balanced synthesis of the state of the art requires both meta-analytical and qualitative reviews.